## **AMENDMENTS TO THE CLAIMS**

This listing of claims will replace all prior versions, and listings, of claims in the application:

## **Listing of Claims:**

1. (Currently amended) Method for equalizing and demodulating a data signal transmitted

using a single-carrier or multi-carrier data-transmission procedure via a time-variant channel to a

receiver, wherein[[-the]] scatterer coefficients including attenuation, delay and Doppler frequency

in the received data signal, which cause signal distortion in the channel, are measured in the receiver.

and the data signal is equalized with the scatterer coefficients determined in this manner and then

demodulated with them.

2. (Previously presented) Method according to claim 1, wherein the measurement of the

scatterer coefficients and the equalization of the data signal take place within the time domain.

3. (Currently amended) Method according to claim 2, wherein its use the measurement of

the scatterer-coefficients and the equalization of the data signals is in the context of single-carrier

data transmission schemes.

4. (Currently amended) Method according to claim 2, wherein its use the measurement of

the scatterer-coefficients and the equalization of the data signals is in the context of multi-carrier data

transmission schemes for receiving known data sequences.

5. (Previously presented) Method according to claim 1, wherein the measurement of the

scatterer coefficients and the equalization of the data signal take place within the frequency domain.

Application No. 10/518,183 Amendment Dated October 24, 2007

Reply to Office Action of July 25, 2007

6. (Currently amended) Method according to claim 5, wherein its use the measurement of

the scatterer-coefficients and the equalization of the data signals is in the context of multi-carrier data

transmission schemes.

7. (Previously presented) Method according to claim 1, wherein the scatterer coefficients are

measured via a maximum likelihood criterion.

8. (Previously presented) Method according to claim 7, wherein the maximum-likelihood

criterion is determined from the Euclidian distance between the received signal, the scatterer

coefficients and the signal data demodulated in the receiver.

9. (Previously presented) Method according to claim 1, wherein a first measurement of the

scatterer coefficients is implemented with the assistance of a known data sequence.

10. (Previously presented) Method according to claim 1, wherein the first measurement of

the scatterer coefficients is implemented block-wise over an entire data sequence.

11. (Previously presented) Method according to claim 1, a Kalman algorithm is used

iteratively for the measurement of the scatterer coefficients.

12. (Previously presented) Method according to claim 1, wherein a recursive-least-square

algorithm is used iteratively for the measurement of the scatterer coefficient.

13. (Previously presented) Method according to claim 9, wherein the scatterer coefficients

determined in the first measurement are used for receiving the associated user data, wherein the data

are equalized and demodulated block-wise over an entire data sequence, and the scatterer coefficients

Page 4 of 20

Application No. 10/518,183

Amendment Dated October 24, 2007

Reply to Office Action of July 25, 2007

is determined in the first measurement are corrected with reference to the data equalized and

demodulated in this block-wise manner.

14. (Previously presented) Method according to claim 1, wherein the scatterer coefficients

determined in the first measurement are used for receiving the associated user data, wherein the

scatterer coefficients determined in the first measurement are corrected according to a Kalman or

recursive-least-square algorithm with reference to the data equalized and demodulated.

15. (Previously presented) Method according to claim 13, wherein a tree-search procedure

is used for correction of the scatterer coefficients and for data demodulation, wherein, the scatterer

coefficients and metrics are measured, in each case, for all possible data sequences, and those data

sequences, which provide the best maximum-likelihood-metric, are then selected from the tree

structure.

16. (Previously presented) Method according to claim 15, wherein the scatterer coefficients

corresponding to the selected best data sequences are used for subsequent equalization and

demodulation.

17. (Previously presented) Method according to claim 15, wherein selection of the data

sequences is carried out block-wise for the entire data sequence observed.

18. (Previously presented) Method according to claim 15, wherein the data sequences are

selected after a predetermined pathway depth of the tree has been reached.

19. (Previously presented) Method according to claim 15, wherein a metric-first algorithm

is used in the tree-search procedure.

Page 5 of 20

Application No. 10/518,183

Amendment Dated October 24, 2007

Reply to Office Action of July 25, 2007

20. (Previously presented) Method according to claim 15, wherein a breadth-first algorithm

is used in the tree-search procedure.

21. (Previously presented) Method according to claim 15, wherein a depth-first algorithm

is used in the tree-search procedure.

22. (Previously presented) Method according to claim 15, wherein the pathway depth and/or

the number of pathways is varied adaptively in the tree-search procedure according to the scatterer

coefficients determined.

23. (Previously presented) Method according to any one of claim 15, wherein the metric

value is also presented in the output of the demodulated data sequence.

24. (Previously presented) Method according to claim 15, wherein in addition to the data

sequence with the best maximum-likelihood metric, other, next-best data sequences with a

next-best-likelihood metric are also presented.

25. (Previously presented) Method according to any one of claim 15, wherein when receiving

data signals coded according to a code, exclusively data sequences corresponding to valid code

words are included in the tree-search procedure.

26. (Previously presented) Method according to claim 25, wherein in addition to taking the

code into consideration, a Viterbi algorithm or APP algorithm is used in the tree-search procedure.

27. (Previously presented) Method according to claim 1, wherein the first measurement of

scatterer coefficients is implemented exclusively with unknown useful data sequences, and that

Page 6 of 20

Application No. 10/518,183 Amendment Dated October 24, 2007 Reply to Office Action of July 25, 2007

default values are used in the initialization of the algorithm instead of the training and synchronization sequences.

28. (Previously presented) Method according to claim 7, wherein the maximum number of scatterer coefficients to be included in an algorithm is adapted in each case on the basis of the scatterer coefficients previously determined.